

Safe- Yield Metric	Definition	AMA				
		Prescott	Phoenix	Pinal**	Tucson	Santa Cruz
Annual	Proportion of years in overdraft	X	X	X	X	X
Long-Term Status	Overdraft status of the most recent year of long-term analysis	X	X	X	✓	X
Long-Term Direction	Direction of overdraft in the most recent 3 years of the long-term analysis	X	X	✓	✓	✓
Single Year Overdraft	Amount of overdraft in the most recent year of the long-term analysis	12,154	151,136	166,077	-721	9,488
% Overdraft (by Total Demand)	Single year overdraft, using the most recent year of the long-term analysis, as a percent of total water demand	35.8%	6.5%	15.3%	-0.2%	17.1%
% Overdraft (by Groundwater Demand)	Single year overdraft, using the most recent year of the long-term analysis, as a percent of groundwater demand	77.3%	16.2%	26.1%	-0.4%	56.3%
Outlook	Narrative about potential future issues that may impact the achievement or maintenance of safe-yield	°Absence of imported water supplies	°Shortage of Colorado River Water °Uncertainty re:surface water supplies °Difficulty achieving goal with existing tools	°Cuts to Agricultural Pool °Shortage of Colorado River Water	°Shortage of Colorado River Water	°Sensitivity to Drought °Shallow Basins °Adjudications °OI Agreement
Long-Term Status	What does this all mean?	Not at Safe-Yield, unlikely to achieve with current practices	Not at Safe-Yield, unlikely to achieve with current practices	Safe-Yield is not the goal	Currently at or around Safe-Yield, unlikely to maintain in Colorado River shortage conditions	Not at Safe-Yield, status is significantly impacted by drought conditions

\*\* Safe-yield is not the goal for the Pinal AMA