

**From:** danny  
**To:** [Sharon Scantlebury](#)  
**Subject:** ADWR meeting #2 11/9/17  
**Date:** Monday, November 13, 2017 10:15:38 AM

---

Hello Sharon S:

This is a copy of my input to the mtg. held by ADWR on 11/9/2107 which were not presented in full due to a 3 minute time limit on oral comments. Which I really think need to be reviewed in that the 2 hour mtg. was adjourned after about 45 min when all wanting to participate orally were heard. So obviously more time could have been allowed. And in that my remarks were taken verbatim from this following missive they should have called me back to finish.

Here are the remarks:

Hello my name is Dan Heaton Quartzsite resident

1<sup>st</sup> I would like to go over some numbers, as there are some folks who believe that 1070 ac ft. per year is a piddling number.

An Acre foot is 325,851 gallons. That times 1070 is a total of 348,660,570 gallons allocated to Quartzsite per year. Quartzsite's annual water usage is approximately 183 million gallons. So in general terms we can say that the bureau of reclamation allotment is twice the annual amount of water usage at Quartzsite at the present time.

CAP is offering Quartzsite 2 million dollars to lock up (and I emphasize Lock up) rounding here, 350 million gallons per year for 25 years which amounts to 8 billion 750 million gallons which means that they will pay us .23 cents per 1000 gallons, and I might add that is set for 25 years no matter what the price of water on the market. Now here in Quartzsite the utilities department charges the residential users \$5.58 dollars per 1000 gallons. So cap has offered to pay us 4.12% of the value of water.

This leads me to question the character of the agreed to lease agreement.

But how could I judge, all deliberations were held in secret session, identified in council terms as executive session, and no information was revealed and no inquiries were allowed.

That is why at the May 9 2017 regular council mtg., which was held following the special session on May 1 2017 authorizing the CAP agreement I made the following statement at call to public.

I request that the town council of Quartzsite AZ reconsider their 4 to 2 vote on agenda item 2 of the special meeting held at 8:45 am on May 1 2017, which was a vote to accept the leasing of the Colorado River allotment for a period of 25 years to the CAWCD. For the following reasons:

1. Four days' notice given for the public to be aware of the meeting of which included a weekend.
2. The public in general was not made aware that a decision was imminent, but that studies were on going
3. All facets of the agreement were made in executive session with no public input.
4. The special mtg. was only set up for a 15 minute time frame which caused public input during the section on "public discussion of the motion" to be cut off.

These four issues are the reason that I respectfully and with due respect decorum and protocol request the above noted reconsideration.

No action was taken

I believe that the CAP agreement should be put aside until vetted by the community through meetings and a referendum due to lack of input and involvement by residents as countenanced by the town council.

Another question, is there a transcript of the comments that were made in each of the 3 meetings regarding the allotment to the CAWCD?

Thank you for your time

Dan Heaton